University of Pisa
Master of Science in Computer Science
Course of Robotics (ROB)





Architectures for robot behaviour

Cecilia Laschi
The BioRobotics Institute
Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna
cecilia.laschi@santannapisa.it

THE BIOROBOTICS INSTITUTE

Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna

Robot definition

A *robot* is an autonomous system
which exists in the physical world,
can sense its environment,
and can act on it to achieve some goals

Maja J Mataric, *The Robotics Primer*, The MIT Press, 2007

THE BIOROBOTICS INSTITUTE

Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna

What's in a robot? Robot components



Maja J Mataric, *The Robotics Primer*, The MIT Press, 2007




Isaac Asimov & Joseph Engelberger

Industrial robotics:
birth and growth of theories and techniques for robot control

Service robotics:
birth and growth of theories and techniques for robot perception & action control

Structured environment

Unstructured environment

Photo: Center for Robot-Assisted Search and Rescue



Robot behaviour

- High level
- Low level



The robotic paradigms

- *"A paradigm is a philosophy or set of assumptions and/or techniques which characterize an approach to a class of problems"*
- No one paradigm is right; rather, some problems seem better suited for different approaches.
- Applying the right paradigm makes problem solving easier.

R. Murphy, *Introduction to AI Robotics*, MIT Press, 2000

The robotic paradigms

- Traditionally, there are 3 main paradigms for facing the problem of controlling robot behaviour:
  - Hierarchial paradigm
  - Reactive paradigm
  - Hybrid paradigm

The robotic paradigms

- The 3 paradigms differ in the way the commonly accepted primitives of robotics are organized
- the commonly accepted primitives of robotics are:
  - **SENSE**: takes information from the robot sensors and produces an output for other functions
  - **PLAN**: takes information from the SENSE or from a world model and produces tasks for the robot
  - **ACT**: takes the tasks for PLAN and produces output commands for the robot actuators

- **Architectures** are the way to implement the paradigms

The robotic paradigms

The 3 paradigms can be described in 2 ways:

- By the relationships between the 3 commonly accepted primitives of robotics
- By the way sensory data is processed and distributed thorugh the system

The robotic paradigms

Primitive functions

PLAN

SENSE

ACT

The robotic paradigms

Information flow

ROBOT PRIMITIVES	INPUT	OUTPUT
SENSE	Sensor data	Sensed information
PLAN	Information (sensed and/or cognitive)	Directives
ACT	Sensed information or directives	Actuator commands

Robotic architectures

- Provide a principled way of organizing a control system
- Impose constraints on the way the control problem can be solved
- Describe a set of architectural components and how they interact

    -> building blocks of programming a robot

- Criteria for evaluating an architecture:
  - Modularity
  - Niche targettability
  - Portability
  - Robustness

Robot behaviour

Primitive functions



Hierarchical paradigm

Hierarchical paradigm

THE BIOROBOTICS INSTITUTE

Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna

ROBOT PRIMITIVES	INPUT	OUTPUT
SENSE	Sensor data	Sensed information
PLAN	Information (sensed and/or cognitive)	Directives
ACT	Sensed information or directives	Actuator commands

Hierarchical architectures

THE BIOROBOTICS INSTITUTE

Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna



Figure 1: The traditional model where cognition mediates between perceptions and plans of actions.

From R. Brooks, Cambrian Intelligence, MIT Press, 1999

Hierarchical architectures



Hierarchical architectures

- Cognition is used to interpret perception and for planning robot tasks

- The SENSE primitive generates a world description, used by the PLAN, which produces a sequence of tasks for the ACT

Hierarchical architectures

- Perception is used for establishing and maintaining a correspondence between the **internal world model** and the external world.

- Typically, the world model contains:
  - a priori representation of the environment where the robot operates
  - perceived sensory information
  - more information needed for task execution

- The world representation is modified each time the robot perceives the environment and the action plan is established on the basis of such representation

Hierarchical architectures

- Logical and functional division and distribution of tasks
- Horizontal and sequential decomposition of the chain of the information processed by the central system



Hierarchical architectures

- Generally, the PLAN primitive is structured in 3 levels:
  - Strategic
  - Tactical
  - Executive
- The highest, or **strategic**, level generates a strategy on the basis of the task to accomplish
- The intermediate, or **tactical**, level generates the commands by interpreting instructions coming from the higher level, or strategic level
- The lowest level, or **executive** level, receives macro-commands generated by the intermediate level and takes care of real-time control of actuators

Hierarchical architectures

3-level PLAN structure

Strategic
This level generates goals and strategies for achieving those goals which result in the achievement of an overall objective

Tactical
This level generates the tactis by which a goal is to be achieved

Executive
This level execute the tactical plan

What the robot has to do

How to accomplish tasks

Command execution

Hierarchical architectures

Example for the task: *"take the bottle out of the fridge"*

- **Strategic level**: go to the kitchen, go in front of the fridge, open the fridge, take the bottle…
- **Tactical level**:
  - Go to the kitchen: go to (X1,Y1), go to (X2,Y2)…
  - Open the fridge: reach (P1), open hand…
- **Executive level**:
  - Move_base(X1,Y1); move_base(X2,Y2); move_arm(P1)…

Nested Hierarchical Controller



Nested Hierarchical Controller



Nested Hierarchical Controller - PLAN



- The Mission Planner module receives a mission from a human operator (ex. take the boxe in the next room) and encodes it in terms usable by the other modules. It also derives the position and goal of the robot from a map
- The Navigator module receives such information and generates the trajectory from the current position to the goal
- The Pilot modules generates the actions that the actuators have to perform for following the trajectory

Hierarchical architectures

Drawback 1: Time-Scale

Generating a plan for a real environment can be very slow.

Drawback 2: Space

Generating a plan for a real environment can be very memory-intensive.

Drawback 3: Information

Generating a plan for a real environment requires updating the world model, which takes time.

Drawback 4: Use of Plans

Executing a plan, even when one is available, is not a trivial process.

From hierarchical to reactive architectures

deliberative, model-based

reactive, behavior-based



Figure 1: The traditional model where cognition mediates between perceptions and plans of actions.



Figure 2: The new model, where the perceptual and action subsystems are all there really is. Cognition is only in the eye of an observer.

R. Brooks, "Cambrian Intelligence", MIT Press, 1999

Robot behaviour

Primitive functions

PLAN

SENSE

ACT

R. Brooks, *Cambrian Intelligence,* MIT Press, 2000

Robot behaviour

Primitive functions

No ‘cognition’ module
Direct interaction between perception and action modules

“The world is its own best model” (just need sensors)

“Cognition is in the eyes of the observer”

Reactive paradigm

R. Brooks, *Cambrian Intelligence,* MIT Press, 2000

Reactive paradigm

ROBOT PRIMITIVES	INPUT	OUTPUT
SENSE	Sensor data →	Sensed information
PLAN	Information (sensed and/or cognitive)	Directives
ACT	Sensed information or directives →	Actuator commands

Before reactive architectures...

Vehicles
Experiments in Synthetic Psychology

By Valentino Braitenberg
The MIT Press



Director of the
Max Planck Institute For
Biological Cybernetics

THE BIOROBOTICS INSTITUTE

Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna

Experiment 1

- Direct connection between sensor and motor
- The motor speed is proportional to the temperature returned by the sensor

- Resulting behaviour?
- The vehicle moves along a same direction, faster in warmer areas, slowlier in cooler areas

Vehicle 1



Experiment 2: fear and aggression

Vehicle 2a

Sensors



Motors

Vehicle 2b

Sensors



Motors

Experiment 2: fear and aggression



Experiment 3: love

Message: with very simple connections between sensors and actuators, behaviours are obtained that seem 'cognitive' in the eyes of the observer



The motor speed is inversely proportional to the temperature returned by the sensor

From hierarchical to reactive architectures

From *horizontal and sequential* division of the information processing chain to *vertical and parallel* division

Competence levels

Decomposition based on desired internal manifestation, not based on internal robot working



Behaviour-based architectures

- The robot interacts with the environment with sensors and actuators
- **There is no world representation** ("The world is its best model", R. A. Brooks, 1986): the knowledge on the world is not modelled nor stored in a memory, but it is extracted in real time from the world itself, through sensors
- Since a world model does not exist, a priori planning of the robot actions cannot exist

Reactive architectures
Example:

Suppose that you are asked to write a reactive controller that will enable a robot to move around and avoid obstacles. The robot has two simple whiskers, one on the left and one on the right. Each whisker returns 1 bit, "on" or "off"; "on" indicates contact with a surface (i.e., the whisker is bent).

```
If left whisker bent, turn right.
If right whisker bent, turn left.
If both whiskers bent, back up and turn to the left.
Otherwise, keep going.
```

A robot using the above controller could oscillate if it gets itself into a corner where the two whiskers alternate in touching the walls.

Reactive architectures

Example:

Now suppose that instead of just two whiskers, your robot has a ring of sonars (twelve of them, to cover the 360-degree span, as you learned in Chapter 9). The sonars are labeled from 1 to 12. Sonars 11, 12, 1 and 2 are at the front of the robot, sonars 3 and 4 are on the right side of the robot, sonars 6 and 7 are in the back, and sonars 1 and 10 are on the left

```
(case
  (if (minimum (sonars 11 12 1 2))   <=   danger-zone
          and
        (not stopped)
  then
    stop)
(if ((minimum (sonars 11 12 1 2))   <=  danger-zone
          and
        stopped)
then
  move backward)
(otherwise
  move forward))
```

Reactive architectures
Example:


```
(case
  (if ((sonar 11 or 12) <= safe-zone
      and
    (sonar 1 or 2) <= safe-zone)
  then
    turn left)
  (if (sonar 3 or 4) <= safe-zone
  then
    turn right))
```

Reactive architectures



Action selection



Arbitration



Fusion

Behaviour-based architectures



- Each behaviour is concerned with one specific aspect of the overall behaviour
- Each behaviour is a finite-state machine ad it works asynchronously and in parallel with the others
- Multiple information flows, each related to a specific robot function



Each BEHAVIOUR is a SENSE-ACT reactive couple

Behaviour-based architectures

THE BIOROBOTICS INSTITUTE

Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna

1. **Situated agent**: the robot is a situated agent operating in an ecological niche. It is an integral part of the world and when it acts it changes the world and receives new sensory inputs.
2. **Behaviour-based**: behaviours serve as the basic building blocks for robotic actions, and the overall behaviour of the robot is emergent. Behaviours are independent, computational entities and operate concurrently.
3. **Locality**: only local, behaviour-specific sensing is permitted. The use of explicit abstract representational knowledge in perceptual processing, even though it is behaviour-specific, is avoided.
4. **Independence**: the various behaviours must be independent to each other. As a consequence, a shared world model is not possible.

Behaviour-based architectures

THE BIOROBOTICS INSTITUTE

Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna

Advantages

- High adaptability to environment changes (real-time response)
- Low computational complexity in each behaviour and the overall computational cost is low
- Parallelism
- Extension of behaviours is very easy thanks to modularity
- No world model

Disadvantages

- The overall robot behaviour is difficult to predict
- Management of concurrency between behaviours
- When increasing the number of behaviours, the complexity of concurrency management also increases, with a consequence difficulty in conflict resolution

An example: subsumption architecture

THE BIOROBOTICS INSTITUTE

Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna

14 IEEE JOURNAL OF ROBOTICS AND AUTOMATION, VOL. RA-2, NO. 1, MARCH 1986

A Robust Layered Control System For A Mobile Robot

RODNEY A. BROOKS, MEMBER, IEEE

Abstract—A new architecture for controlling mobile robots is described. Layers of control system are built to let the robot operate at increasing levels of competence. Layers are made up of asynchronous modules that communicate over low-bandwidth channels. Each module is an instance of a fairly simple computational machine. Higher-level layers can subsume the roles of lower levels by suppressing their outputs. However, lower levels continue to function as higher levels are added. The result is a robust and flexible robot control system. The system has been used to control a mobile robot wandering around unconstrained laboratory areas and computer machine rooms. Eventually it is intended to control a robot that wanders the office areas of our laboratory, building maps of its surroundings using an onboard arm to perform simple tasks.

I. Introduction

A CONTROL SYSTEM for a completely autonomous mobile robot must perform many complex information processing tasks in real time. It operates in an environment where the boundary conditions (viewing the instantaneous control problem in a classical control theory formulation) are changing rapidly. In fact the determination of those boundary conditions is done over very noisy channels since there is no straightforward mapping between sensors (e.g. TV cameras) and the form required of the boundary conditions.



Fig. 1. Traditional decomposition of a mobile robot control system into functional modules.



Fig. 2. Decomposition of a mobile robot control system based on task-achieving behaviors.

Collision-free navigation of a mobile robot equipped with ultrasound sensors



R.A. Brooks, "A Robust Layered Control System for a Mobile Robot", in *Cambrian Intelligence*, The MIT Press, 1999
R.A. Brooks, "A Robust Layered Control System for a Mobile Robot", *IEEE Journal of Robotics and Automation*, Vol. Ra-2, No. I, March 1986

Subsumption architecture



- Behaviours are organized in an architecture based on levels: control levels corresponding to the competence levels of vertical decomposition
  - Lower levels concern more basic functions, like obstacle avoidance
  - Higher levels concern more goal-directed actions.
- Higher levels ‘subsume’ lower levels
- The levels work in an independent and concurrent way

Subsumption architecture: suppression and inhibition

- Each behaviour has input and output lines.
- Outpur lines of a behaviour can be connected to input or output lines of other behaviours:
  - An input signal can be **suppressed** and replaced with the signal that suppressed it
  - An output signal can be **inhibited**



Subsumption architecture
for a case of robot navigation



Level 0 - Avoid



Level 1 - Wander



Results from simulations of levels 0 e 1



Level 2 - Explore



Results from simulation of levels 0, 1 e 2







https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uCWeG3p5KJA

iRobot Roomba – reactive behaviours

Spiraling: Roomba uses a spiral motion to clean a concentrated area.

Wall Following: Roomba uses this technique to clean the full perimeter of the room and navigate around furniture and obstacles.

Room Crossing: Roomba crisscrosses the room to ensure full cleaning coverage.

Dirt Detection (selected models)**:** When Roomba senses dirt, the blue Dirt Detect™ light is lit and Roomba cleans more intensely in that area.



Potential field behaviours

- ***vectors*** used to represent behaviors
- ***vector summation*** to combine vectors from different behaviors to produce an emergent behavior





Potential field behaviours

- Level 0 redone as Potential Fields Methodology



Potential field behaviours

- Level 1 redone with Potential Fields Methodology



Robot behaviour

Primitive functions



Hybrid paradigm

Hybrid paradigm

ROBOT PRIMITIVES	INPUT	OUTPUT
PLAN	Information (sensed and/or cognitive)	Directives
SENSE-ACT (behaviors)	Sensor data	Actuator commands

Hybrid architectures

- They have a PLAN primitive, with typically a strategic level and a tactical level.
- The strategic planner makes a long-term plan of the robot actions, by identifying the sequence of sub-tasks needed to reach the goal, and it provides the results to the tactical planner
- The tactical planner initializes and monitors the behaviours, by also coordinating them in time.



Hybrid architectures – examples of middle layers



Hierarchical, reactive and hybrid architectures



Hierarchical



Reactive



Hybrid