



OpenCL 1.0 to 2.2 : a quick survey

Massimo Coppola 09/05/2018

Source material taken from Khronos group https://www.khronos.org/ Original presentations were held at several events during 2013—2017



MCSN – M. Coppola – Strumenti di programmazione per sistemi paralleli e distribuiti













OpenCL Introduction

Neil Trevett Vice President NVIDIA, President Khronos OpenCL Working Group Chair

Give us YOUR Feedback!

- Full OpenCL 2.0 Documentation available
 - Final Specification
 - Header files
 - Reference Card
 - Online Reference pages
- OpenCL Registry contains all specifications
 - www.khronos.org/registry/cl/
- Open Resources Area

S,

O° Z°

2

Т

- Community submitted resources
- http://www.khronos.org/opencl/resources
- Public Forum and Bugzilla is open for comments
 - All feedback welcome!

	OpenCL2.0 Relations Card		
	Open-El-Signer Campedia Language (La producto region campacto for garante augusta spanish internagamena o garante final tecinar (CA), URA, a processor, Open-El producto final excitante poggiarmenta for software developers to write efficient, portfalle april finanza campada a survey a survey a finandival devena. Spanish campada a survey a survey a survey a survey a survey barrenes, and page-survey.	moving of all other ng erobomment costo for high yrtems, jani yrtems, jani pan Alland po	KHRONOS version and and in the Careful AV is an and an and and in the Careful AV is the set and and in the the Careful Careful the set and and in the Careful Careful the Set and
	OpenEL API Reference		
	The OpenCL Platform Laver	IL DEPEND PLATFORM, PROVIDE BULLER.	REL angelike State of
	The Stand A defaults are presented and additional setting the transmitter of the applications at setting the theory. The setting additional setting the setting the transmitter of the setting the setting the transmitter of the setting the setting the setting the setting the setting the setting the setting the setting the setting the setting the setting the setting the setting the setting t		Marry Control Control According to the second secon
Resources			And the party of the second se
Beanet CoanCL Instan GLIME - an DOP ethns Java Bratros In OceanCL AvaOL - Java DeerCL GEE autematives	indinos and Million		 a.e. of determinants of a point of a point
AccelerGins Arta-Fin m artagi- saterin deceler Miccia, Insue seterini deceler Sisci, Miccia, Insue seterini m GenerGi, Met GenerGi, Met BalenCi, GL Formentei BalenCi, GD SimpleGenerGi, SimpleGeneGi, SimpleGeneGenerGi, SimpleGe	di kazar mukani (ABC) horachy tud og (1993) 1997 mikani italyy mikani italyy mikani italyotej a jani fecotiet Solven usina Cannol,		Rev. with a constraint of the second
Anuta Preset Wateds fü Case Tatic Institution Case Tatic Institution Child Inseed D Tutins SPERU Propagnities of Interaction to General. In Lesenne OPEPU and Co OpepCL Hello Vold Tu OpepCL / Sci Interac Tuti	isanGL) Anna anC, Tachnologieo for taibiral User Interfacea Itolai Itila adon and classification of Haar huturus with co		

OpenCL Presentations in This Session

- OpenCL 2.0 Overview
 - Allen Hux, Intel

N O S

HR

Accelerated Science - use of OpenCL in Land Down Under

- Tomasz Bednarz, CSIRO
- Sydney Khronos Chapter Leader









OpenCL 2.0 Overview

Allen Hux Intel Corporation

© Copyright Khronos Group 2013 - Page 1

Goals

- Enable New Programming Patterns
- Performance Improvements
- Well-defined Execution & Memory Model
- Improve CL / GL sharing

- In OpenCL 1.2 buffer objects can only be passed as kernel arguments
- Buffer object described as pointer to type in kernel
- Restrictions
 - Pass a pointer + offset as argument value
 - Store pointers in buffer object(s)
- Why?
 - Host and OpenCL device may not share the same virtual address space
 - No guarantee that the same virtual address will be used for a kernel argument across multiple enqueues

- clSVMAlloc allocates a shared virtual memory buffer
 - Specify size in bytes
 - Specify usage information
 - Optional alignment value
- SVM pointer can be shared by the host and OpenCL device
- Examples

clSVMAlloc(ctx, CL_MEM_READ_WRITE, 1024 * 1024, 0)

clSVMAlloc(ctx, CL_MEM_READ_ONLY, 1024 * 1024, sizeof(cl_float4))

Free SVM buffers

- clEnqueueSVMFree, clSVMFree

clSetKernelArgSVMPointer

- SVM pointers as kernel arguments
- A SVM pointer
- A SVM pointer + offset

```
kernel void
vec_add(float *src, float *dst)
{
    size_t id = get_global_id(0);
    dst[id] += src[id];
}
```

// allocating SVM pointers
cl_float *src = (cl_float *)clSVMAlloc(ctx, CL_MEM_READ_ONLY, size, 0);
cl_float *dst = (cl_float *)clSVMAlloc(ctx, CL_MEM_READ_WRITE, size, 0);

// Passing SVM pointers as arguments
clSetKernelArgSVMPointer(vec_add_kernel, 0, src);
clSetKernelArgSVMPointer(vec_add_kernel, 1, dst);

// Passing SVM pointer + offset as arguments
clSetKernelArgSVMPointer(vec_add_kernel, 0, src + offset);
clSetKernelArgSVMPointer(vec_add_kernel, 1, dst + offset);

clSetKernelExecInfo

- Passing SVM pointers in other SVM pointers or buffer objects

// Passing SVM pointers
clSetKernelArgSVMPointer(my_kernel, 0, pA);

clSetKernelExecInfo(my_kernel, CL_KERNEL_EXEC_INFO_SVM_PTRS, 1 * sizeof(void *), &pA->pB);

```
typedef struct {
    ...
    float *pB;
    ...
} my_info_t;
kernel void
my_kernel(global my_info_t *pA, ...)
{
    ...
    do_stuff(pA->pB, ...);
    ...
}
```

• Three types of sharing

- Coarse-grained buffer sharing
- Fine-grained buffer sharing
- System sharing

Shared Virtual Memory - Coarse & Fine Grained

• SVM buffers allocated using clSVMAlloc

Coarse grained sharing

- Memory consistency only guaranteed at synchronization points
- Host still needs to use synchronization APIs to update data
 - clEnqueueSVMMap / clEnqueueSVMUnmap or event callbacks
 - Memory consistency is at a buffer level
- Allows sharing of pointers between host and OpenCL device

• Fine grained sharing

- No synchronization needed between host and OpenCL device
 - Host and device can update data in buffer concurrently
 - Memory consistency using C11 atomics and synchronization operations
- Optional Feature

Shared Virtual Memory - System Sharing

- Can directly use any pointer allocated on the host
 - No OpenCL APIs needed to allocate SVM buffers
- Both host and OpenCL device can update data using C11 atomics and synchronization functions
- Optional Feature

- In OpenCL 1.2 only the host can enqueue kernels
- Iterative algorithm example
 - kernel A queues kernel B

N O S

2

I.

- kernel B decides to queue kernel A again
- Requires host device interaction and for the host to wait for kernels to finish execution
 - Can use callbacks to avoid waiting for kernels to finish but still overhead
- A very simple but extremely common nested parallelism example





- Allow a device to queue kernels to itself
 - Allow a work-item(s) to queue kernels
- Use similar approach to how host queues commands
 - Queues and Events
 - Functions that queue kernels and other commands
 - Event and Profiling functions

• Use clang Blocks to describe kernel to queue

}

N O S

0

I

• Queuing kernels with pointers to local address space as arguments

• Example showing queuing kernels with local address space arguments

```
void my_func_local_arg (global int *a, local int *lptr, ...) { ... }
kernel void my_func(global int *a, ...)
  ...
  uint local mem size = compute local mem size(...);
  enqueue kernel(get default queue(),
              CLK ENQUEUE FLAGS WAIT KERNEL,
              ndrange 1D(...),
              ^(local int *p){my_func_local_arg(a, p, ...);},
              local mem size);
```

K H R O N O S

- Specify when a child kernel can begin execution (pick one)
 - Don't wait on parent
 - Wait for kernel to finish execution
 - Wait for work-group to finish execution

• A kernel's execution status is complete

- when it has finished execution
- and all its child kernels have finished execution

Other Commands

- Queue a marker

Query Functions

- Get workgroup size for a block
- Event Functions
 - Retain & Release events
 - Create user event
 - Set user event status
 - Capture event profiling info

Helper Functions

- Get default queue
- Return a 1D, 2D or 3D ND-range descriptor

Generic Address Space

- In OpenCL 1.2, function arguments that are a pointer to a type must declare the address space of the memory region pointed to
- Many examples where developers want to use the same code but with pointers to different address spaces

```
void void
my_func (local int *ptr, ...)
{
    ...
    foo(ptr, ...);
    ...
}
void my_func (global int *ptr, ...)
{
    ...
    foo(ptr, ...);
    ...
}
```

- Above example is not supported in OpenCL 1.2
- Results in developers having to duplicate code

Generic Address Space

- OpenCL 2.0 no longer requires an address space qualifier for arguments to a function that are a pointer to a type
 - Except for kernel functions
- Generic address space assumed if no address space is specified
- Makes it really easy to write functions without having to worry about which address space arguments point to

```
void
my_func (int *ptr, ...)
   ...
kernel void
foo(global int *g_ptr, local int *l_ptr, ...)
{
   ...
  my_func(g_ptr, ...);
  my_func(l_ptr, ...);
```

K H R O N O S

© Copyright Khronos Group 2013 - Page 19

Generic Address Space - Casting Rules

- Implicit casts allowed from named to generic address space
- Explicit casts allowed from generic to named address space
- Cannot cast between constant and generic address spaces

```
kernel void foo()
  int *ptr;
   local int *lptr;
  global int *gptr;
   local int val = 55;
  ptr = gptr; // legal
   lptr = ptr; // illegal
   lptr = gptr; // illegal
   ptr = &val; // legal
   lptr = (local int *)ptr; // legal
```

Generic Address Space - Built-in Functions

- global gentype* to_global(const gentype*) local gentype* to_local(const gentype *) private gentype* to_private(const gentype *)
 - Returns NULL if cannot cast
- cl_mem_fence_flags get_fence(const void *ptr)
 - Returns the memory fence flag value
 - Needed by work_group_barrier and mem_fence functions

- Implements a subset of the C11 atomic and synchronization operations
 - Enable assignments in one work-item to be visible to others
- Atomic operations
 - loads & stores
 - exchange, compare & exchange
 - fetch and modify (add, sub, or, xor, and, min, max)
 - test and set, clear
- Fence operation

• Atomic and Fence operations take

- Memory order
- Memory scope

• Operations are supported for global and local memory

- memory_order_relaxed
 - Atomic operations with this memory order are not synchronization operations
 - Only guarantee atomicity
- memory_order_acquire, memory_order_release, memory_order_acq_rel
 - Atomic store in work-item A for variable M is tagged with memory_order_release
 - Atomic load in work-item B for same variable M is tagged with memory_order_acquire
 - Once the atomic load is completed work-item B is guaranteed to see everything work-item A wrote to memory before atomic store
 - Synchronization is only guaranteed between work-items releasing and acquiring the same atomic variable
- memory_order_seq_cst
 - Same as memory_order_acq_rel, and
 - A single total order exists in which all work-items observe all modifications

• Memory scope - specifies scope of memory ordering constraints

- Work-items in a work-group
- Work-items of a kernel executing on a device
- Work-items of a kernel & host threads executing across devices and host
 - For shared virtual memory

Supported Atomic Types

- atomic_int, atomic_uint
- atomic_long, atomic_ulong
- atomic_float
- atomic_double
- atomic_intptr_t, atomic_uintptr_t, atomic_ptrdiff_t
- atomic_size_t
- atomic_flag
- Atomic types have the same size & representation as the non-atomic types except for atomic_flag
- Atomic functions must be lock-free

Images

2D image from buffer

- GPUs have dedicated and fast hardware for texture addressing & filtering
- Accessing a buffer as a 2D image allows us to use this hardware
- Both buffer and 2D image use the same data storage

• Reading & writing to an image in a kernel

- Declare images with the read_write qualifier
- Use barrier between writes and reads by work-items to the image
 - work_group_barrier(CLK_IMAGE_MEM_FENCE)
- Only sampler-less reads are supported

Images

- Writes to 3D images is now a core feature
- New image formats
 - sRGB
 - Depth
- Extended list of required image formats
- Improvements to CL / GL sharing
 - Multi-sampled GL textures
 - Mip-mapped GL textures

Pipes

- Memory objects that store data organized as a FIFO
- Kernels can read from or write to a pipe object
- Host can only create pipe objects

Pipes

- Why introduce a pipe object?
 - Allow vendors to implement dedicated hardware to support pipes
 - Read from and write to a pipe without requiring atomic operations to global memory
 - Enable producer consumer relationships between kernels

Pipes - Read & Write Functions

Work-item read pipe functions

- Read a packet from a pipe
- Read with reservation
 - Reserve n packets for reading
 - Read individual packets (identified by reservation ID and packet index)
 - Confirm that the reserved packets have been read

Work-item write pipe functions

- Write a packet to a pipe
- Write with reservation

• Work-group pipe functions

- Reserve and commit packets for reading / writing

Other 2.0 Features

- Program scope variables
- Flexible work-groups
- New work-item functions
 - get_global_linear_id, get_local_linear_id
- Work-group functions
 - broadcast, reduction, vote (any & all), prefix sum
- Sub-groups
- Sharing with EGL images and events










$\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{K} \ \mathsf{H} \ \mathsf{R} \ \overbrace{\mathsf{O}} \ \mathsf{N} \ \mathsf{O} \ \mathsf{S} \\ \mathsf{G} \ \mathsf{R} \ \mathsf{O} \ \mathsf{U} \ \mathsf{P} \end{array}$

OpenCL 2.1 and SPIR-V 1.0 Launch

November 2015

© Copyright Khronos Group 2015 - Page 1







$\mathbf{K} + \mathbf{R} \bigoplus_{\mathbf{G}} \mathbf{N} \bigoplus_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{O} \bigoplus_{\mathbf{U}} \mathbf{P}^{\mathsf{T}}$

OpenCL

A State of the Union

Neil Trevett | Khronos President NVIDIA Vice President Developer Ecosystem OpenCL Working Group Chair <u>ntrevett@nvidia.com</u> | <u>@neilt3d</u>

Vienna, April 2016



OpenCL 2.2

- Provisional seeking industry feedback before finalization at SIGGRAPH or SC16
- OpenCL C++ kernel language into core
- SPIR-V 1.1 adds OpenCL C++ support
- SYCL 2.2 fully leverages OpenCL 2.2 from a single source file
- Runs on any OpenCL 2.0-capable hardware



OpenCL C++ Kernel Language SPIR-V 1.1 with C++ support SYCL 2.2 for single source C++

OpenCL C++ Kernel Language

- The OpenCL C++ kernel language is a static subset of C++14
 - Frees developers from low-level coding details without sacrificing performance
- C++14 features removed from OpenCL C++ for parallel programming
 - Exceptions, Allocate/Release memory, Virtual functions and abstract classes Function pointers, Recursion and goto
- Classes, lambda functions, templates, operator overloading etc..
 - Fast and elegant sharable code reusable device libraries and containers
 - Templates enable meta-programming for highly adaptive software
 - Lambdas used to implement nested/dynamic parallelism
- Enhanced support for authoring libraries
 - Increased safety, reduced undefined behavior while accessing atomics, iterators, images, samplers, pipes, device queue built-in types and address spaces

Safer, more adaptable, more reusable parallel software



SYCL - Single Source Heterogeneous C++

Pronounced 'sickle'

່ທຼ

O° 2

Z°

R

- To go with 'spear' (SPIR)
- C++11 code for multiple OpenCL devices
 - Construct complex reusable algorithm templates using OpenCL for acceleration
- C++ templates contain host & device code
 - e.g. parallel_sort<MyType> (myData);
- Cross-toolchain as well as cross-platform
 - No language extensions so standard C++ compilers can process SYCL source
- Device compilers enable SYCL on devices
 - Can have multiple device compilers linking into final executable



SYCL Status and Benefits

- SYCL 1.2 Final spec released
 - At IWOCL in May 2014
- Multiple implementations
 - Including open source triSYCL from AMD
 - https://github.com/amd/triSYCL
- Developers can move quickly into writing SYCL code
 - Provides methods for dealing with targets that do not have OpenCL(yet!)
- A fallback CPU implementation is debuggable!
 - Using normal C++ debuggers

່ທຼ

O[°]

Z°

22

Т

- Profiling tools also work on CPU device
- Huge bonus for productivity and adoption
 - Cost of entry to use SYCL very low



SYCL is a practical, open, royalty-free standard to deliver high performance software on today's highly-parallel systems

The Choice of SYCL 2.2 or OpenCL C++

Developer Choice

The development of the two specifications are aligned so code can be easily shared between the two approaches

C++ Kernel Language Low Level Control 'GPGPU'-style separation of device-side kernel source code and host code



SYCL is an important initiative to represent the OpenCL perspective as the industry as a whole figures out parallel programming from C++ Single-source C++ Programmer Familiarity Approach also taken by C++ AMP, OpenMP and the C++ 17 Parallel STL



More OpenCL 2.2 - with help from SPIR-V 1.1

- SPIR-V 1.1 adds full support for OpenCL C++
 - Initializer/finalizer function execution modes to support constructors/destructors
 - Enhances the expressiveness of kernel programs by supporting named barriers, subgroup execution, and program scope pipes
- SPIR-V specialization constants previously available in Vulkan shaders
 - SPIR-V module can express a family of parameterized OpenCL kernel programs
 - Embedded compile-time settings can be specialized at runtime
 - Eliminates the need to ship or recompile multiple variants of a kernel
- Pipe storage device-side type useful for FPGA implementations
 - Makes connectivity size and type known at compile time
 - Enables efficient device-scope communication between kernels
- Enhanced optimization of generated code

້ິ

- Query non-trivial constructors/destructors of program scope global objects
- User callbacks can be set at program release time

SPIR-V Transforms the Language Ecosystem

- First multi-API, intermediate language for parallel compute and graphics
 - Native representation for Vulkan shader and OpenCL kernel source languages
 - <u>https://www.khronos.org/registry/spir-v/papers/WhitePaper.pdf</u>
- Cross vendor intermediate representation
 - Language front-ends can easily access multiple hardware run-times
 - Acceleration hardware can leverage multiple language front-ends
 - Encourages tools for program analysis and optimization in SPIR form

Multiple Developer Advantages Same front-end compiler for multiple platforms Reduces runtime kernel compilation time Don't have to ship shader/kernel source code Drivers are simpler and more reliable



Diverse Languages

Evolution of SPIR Family

- SPIR-V is first fully specified Khronos-defined SPIR standard
 - Does not use LLVM to isolate from LLVM roadmap changes
 - Includes full flow control, graphics and parallel constructs beyond LLVM
 - Khronos has open sourced SPIR-V <-> LLVM conversion tools to enable construction of flexible toolchains that use both intermediate languages

SPIR.	SPIR 1.2	SPIR 2.0	SPIR-V 1.0
LLVM Interaction	Uses LLVM 3.2	Uses LLVM 3.4	100% Khronos defined Round-trip lossless conversion
Compute Constructs	Metadata/Intrinsics	Metadata/Intrinsics	Native
Graphics Constructs	No	No	Native
Supported Language Feature Sets	OpenCL C 1.2	OpenCL C 1.2 OpenCL C 2.0	OpenCL C 1.2 / 2.0 OpenCL C++ and GLSL
OpenCL Ingestion	OpenCL 1.2 Extension	OpenCL 2.0 Extension	OpenCL 2.1 Core OpenCL 1.2 / 2.0 Extensions
Vulkan Ingestion	-	-	Vulkan 1.0 Core

SPIR-V Ecosystem

້ິ

0° 2° 2°

2



SPIR-V Open Source Community Activity

• Python byte code to SPIR-V Convertor

- Write shaders or kernels in Python, Encode and decode SPIR-V in Python
- Dis(Assembler) with high level human readable assembler syntax
- .NET IL to SPIR-V Convertor
 - Write and debug shaders or kernels using C# , SPIR-V interpreter
- Shade SPIR-V virtual machine
 - Test and debug SPIR-V binaries for binary correctness in human readable format
- Otherside SPIR-V virtual machine
 - Academic software rasterizer project to produce C code from SPIR-V
- Rust (Dis)Assembler
 - Encode and decode SPIR-V binaries in Rust
- Go (Dis)Assembler
 - Encode and decode SPIR-V in Go, SPIR-V represented in Go data structures
- Haskell EDSL

်လှ

Т

 $\mathbf{\Sigma}$

- SPIR-V like language embedded in Haskell with significantly relaxed layout constraints
- Lisp SPIR-V Specification
 - Lisp readable SPIR-V specification
- JSON SPIR-V specification
 - Conversion of HTML SPIR-V specification to JSON format
- This is just the start....



Support for Both SPIR-V and LLVM

- LLVM is an SDK, not a formally defined standard
 - Khronos moved away from trying to use LLVM IR as a standard
 - Issues with versioning, metadata, etc.
- But LLVM is a treasure chest of useful transforms
 - SPIR-V tools can encapsulation and use LLVM to do useful SPIR-V transforms
- SPIR-V tools can all use different rules and there will be lots of these
 - May be lossy and only support SPIR-V subset
 - Internal form is not standardized
 - May hide LLVM version, metadata

S O Z N

2





້ິ

0° 2°

2

Т

 $\mathbf{\mathbf{X}}$

© Copyright Khronos Group 2016 - Page 10

OpenCL at a Crossroads

Lack of Tools 'Too complex to program' Performance portability is hard

Desktop

Use cases: Video and Image Processing, Gaming Compute Roadmap: Vulkan interop, arbitrary precision for increased performance, pre-emption, Collective Programming and improved execution model

CUDA, NVIDIA Shipping 1.2 Apple Metal

່ ທີ

O[°]

Z

2

Т

 $\mathbf{\mathbf{\Sigma}}$

Mobile

Use case: Photo and Vision Processing Roadmap: arbitrary precision for inference engine and pixel processing efficiency, pre-emption and QoS scheduling for power efficiency RenderScript confusion

* Roadmap topics in discussion

HPC, SciViz, Datacenter

Use case: Numerical Simulation, Virtualization Roadmap: enhanced streaming processing, enhanced library support



on Android, Apple Metal

CUDA, NVIDIA Shipping 1.2, er Lack of libraries

FPGAs

Use cases: Network and Stream Processing

Roadmap: enhanced execution model, self-synchronized and selfscheduled graphs, fine-grained synchronization between kernels, DSL in C++

Embedded

Use cases: Signal and Pixel Processing

Roadmap: arbitrary precision for power efficiency, hard real-time scheduling, asynch DMA

The Universal Struggle for Open Standards

Platforms Idealized Universe = Total content lock. All commercially significant apps run on your platform and nowhere else Independent Hardware and Software Vendors Idealized Universe = zero cost to monetize apps and processors across all platforms Proprietary Solution Providers Idealized Universe = single viable solution. All platforms and applications use your solution and nothing else

Effective Open Standard Strategies

- 1. Create joint investment in a solution that is too expensive for any one company to develop themselves
- 2. Create enough momentum that companies gain more content than they lose by supporting an open standard

Vulkan Explicit GPU Control



Vulkan 1.0 provides access to OpenGL ES 3.1 / OpenGL 4.X-class GPU functionality but with increased performance and flexibility

H R S S S

© Copyright Khronos Group 2016 - Page 13

production code

Vulkan Tools Architecture

- Layered design for cross-vendor tools innovation and flexibility
 - IHVs plug into a common, extensible architecture for code validation, debugging and profiling during development without impacting production performance
- Khronos Open Source Loader enables use of tools layers during debug
 - Finds and loads drivers, dispatches API calls to correct driver and layers



Vulkan Feature Sets

• Vulkan supports hardware with a wide range of hardware capabilities

- Mobile OpenGL ES 3.1 up to desktop OpenGL 4.5 and beyond
- One unified API framework for desktop, mobile, console, and embedded
 - No "Vulkan ES" or "Vulkan Desktop"
- Vulkan precisely defines a set of "fine-grained features"
 - Features are specifically enabled at device creation time (similar to extensions)
- Platform owners define a Feature Set for their platform
 - Vulkan provides the mechanism but does not mandate policy
 - Khronos will define Feature Sets for platforms where owner is not engaged
- Khronos will define feature sets for Windows and Linux
 - After initial developer feedback

້ທຼ

O°_∞

Z°

R N N



Vulkan Genesis

H R O N O S^T

 \mathbf{X}

Khronos members from all segments of the graphics industry agree the need for new generation cross-platform GPU API	Significant proposals, IP contribution and engineering effort from many working group members	s Khronos' first API 'hard launch' 16Feb16
Including an unprecedented level of participation from game engine developers	•	cification, Conformance Tests, SDKs - all open source Reference Materials, Compiler front-ends, Samples Multiple Conformant Drivers on multiple OS
PIXAR Oculus VR MEDIATEK	Google Nintendo VALVE Codeplay	<image/>

The Secret to Performance Portability

H R

 $\mathbf{\Sigma}$



Similar ecosystem dynamic as WebGL

A widely pervasive, powerful, flexible foundation layer enables diverse middleware tools and libraries

Add Compute to Vulkan? In Discussion...

Desktop

Use cases: Video and Image Processing, Gaming Compute Roadmap: Vulkan interop, arbitrary precision for increased performance, pre-emption, collective programming and improved execution model

HPC, SciViz, Datacenter

Use case: Numerical Simulation, Virtualization Roadmap: enhanced streaming processing, enhanced library support

FPGAs

Use cases: Network and Stream Processing

Roadmap: enhanced execution model, selfsynchronized and self-scheduled graphs, finegrained synchronization between kernels, DSL in C++

Embedded

Use cases: Signal and Pixel Processing Roadmap: arbitrary precision for power efficiency, hard real-time scheduling, asynch DMA

Vulkan Lessons

Engine developer insights were essential during design
 Engine prototyping during design was essential during design
 Open sourcing tests, tools, specs drives deeper community engagement
 Explicit API - supports strong middleware ecosystem
 BUT its 'just' a GPU API - still need OpenCL!

Vulkan Compute? Gaming Compute, Pixel Processing, Inference Fine grain graphics and compute (no interop needed) SPIR-V for shading language flexibility - C/C++ Low-latency, fine grain run-time Google Android adoption Competes well with Metal (=C++/OpenCL 1.2) Roadmap: arbitrary precision, SVM, dynamic parallelism, pre-emption and QoS scheduling

Mobile

Use case: Photo and Vision Processing

Roadmap: arbitrary precision for

inference engine and pixel processing efficiency, pre-

emption and QoS scheduling for power efficiency



OpenCL

© Copyright Khronos Group 2016 - Page 18

Possible OpenCL Evolution

I

 $\mathbf{\Sigma}$



Should OpenCL evolve to focus on the things that ONLY OpenCL can do...
1. Enable low-level, explicit access to heterogeneous hardware - needed by languages and libraries
2. Provide efficient runtime coordination of tasks, resources, scheduling on target hardware
3. Leverage, synergize and co-exist with Vulkan compute - and learn from Vulkan ...
4. Define feature sets so target hardware does not have to implement inappropriate functionality
5. Adopt layered tools architecture to drive tools momentum and decrease run-time overhead
6. Leave usability, portability and performance portability to higher levels in the ecosystem







