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12 - Some Facts

## Object

$$
N \vdash \psi
$$

# We survey two connectedness theorems and five exchange lemmas 

Free Choice Nets (book, optional reading)<br>https://www7.in.tum.de/~esparza/bookfc.html

## Two theorems on strong connectedness

(whose proofs are optional reading)

# Strong connectedness theorem 

Theorem: If a weakly connected system is live and bounded then it is strongly connected

## (the proof requires some Exchange Lemmas that we illustrate later)

## Consequences

If a (weakly-connected) net is not strongly connected

then

It is not live and bounded
If it is live, it is not bounded
If it is bounded, it is not live

## Example

It is now immediate to see that this system (weakly connected, not strongly connected)
cannot be live and bounded (it is live but not bounded)


## Exercise

On the basis of the previous observation:
Draw a net that is bounded but not live
Draw a(nother) net that is live but not bounded
Draw a net that is neither live nor bounded
(all nets must be weakly connected)

# Strong connectedness via invariants 

Theorem: If a weakly connected net has a positive S-invariant I and a positive T-invariant J then it is strongly connected

## Consequences

If a (weakly-connected) net is not strongly connected
then
we cannot find (two) positive S- and T-invariants

# Five Exchange Lemmas (whose proofs are optional reading) 

## Exchange lemma:

 finite sequences (1)Lemma: Let $u, v \in T$ with $\bullet u \cap v \bullet=\emptyset$. If $M \xrightarrow{v u} M^{\prime}$, then $M \xrightarrow{u v} M^{\prime}$


## Exchange lemma:

## finite sequences (1)

Lemma: Let $u, v \in T$ with $\bullet u \cap v \bullet=\emptyset$.
If $M \xrightarrow{v u} M^{\prime}$, then $M \xrightarrow{u v} M^{\prime}$
Let $M \xrightarrow{v} K \xrightarrow{u} M^{\prime}$.
Clearly $M^{\prime}=\underbrace{K-\bullet u}_{K^{\prime}}+u \bullet$, with $K^{\prime}=K-\bullet u$.
Since $\bullet u \cap v \bullet=\emptyset$, then: $M^{\prime \prime} \xrightarrow{v} K^{\prime}$ with $M^{\prime \prime}=M-\bullet u$
Therefore:

$$
M=M^{\prime \prime}+\bullet u \xrightarrow{u} M^{\prime \prime}+u \bullet \xrightarrow{v} K^{\prime}+u \bullet=M^{\prime}
$$

## Exchange lemma:

## finite sequences (1)

Lemma: Let $u, v \in T$ with $\bullet u \cap v \bullet=\emptyset$.
If $M \xrightarrow{v u} M^{\prime}$, then $M \xrightarrow{u v} M^{\prime}$

Let $M \xrightarrow{v} K \xrightarrow{u} M^{\prime}$

## Exchange lemma:

## finite sequences (1)

Lemma: Let $u, v \in T$ with $\bullet u \cap v \bullet=\emptyset$.
If $M \xrightarrow{v u} M^{\prime}$, then $M \xrightarrow{u v} M^{\prime}$

Let $M \xrightarrow{\hookrightarrow} K \xrightarrow{u} M^{\prime}$ and $K^{\prime}=K-\overbrace{u}$
preset of $u$


## Exchange lemma:

## finite sequences (1)

Lemma: Let $u, v \in T$ with $\bullet u \cap v \bullet=\emptyset$.
If $M \xrightarrow{v u} M^{\prime}$, then $M \xrightarrow{u v} M^{\prime}$


## Exchange lemma:

## finite sequences (1)

Lemma: Let $u, v \in T$ with $\bullet u \cap v \bullet=\emptyset$. If $M \xrightarrow{v u} M^{\prime}$, then $M \xrightarrow{u v} M^{\prime}$ preserved by the firing of $v$ Let $M \stackrel{v}{\longrightarrow} K \xrightarrow{u} M^{\prime}$ and $K^{\prime}=K-\bullet u$.
Clearly $M^{\prime}=K^{\prime}+u \bullet$.






Since $\bullet u \cap v \bullet=\emptyset$

## Exchange lemma:

## finite sequences (1)

Lemma: Let $u, v \in T$ with $\bullet u \cap v \bullet=\emptyset$. If $M \xrightarrow{v u} M^{\prime}$, then $M \xrightarrow{u v} M^{\prime}$

Let $M \xrightarrow{v} K \xrightarrow{u} M^{\prime}$ and $K^{\prime}=K-o u$.




Since $\bullet u \cap v \bullet=\emptyset$, then: $M^{\prime \prime} \xrightarrow{v} K^{\prime}$ with $M^{\prime \prime}=M-\bullet u$

## Exchange lemma:

## finite sequences (1)

Lemma: Let $u, v \in T$ with $\bullet u \cap v \bullet=\emptyset$.
If $M \xrightarrow{v u} M^{\prime}$, then $M \xrightarrow{u v} M^{\prime}$


## Exchange lemma:

## finite sequences (1)

Lemma: Let $u, v \in T$ with $\bullet u \cap v \bullet=\emptyset$.
If $M \xrightarrow{v u} M^{\prime}$, then $M \xrightarrow{u v} M^{\prime}$



## Exchange lemma:

## finite sequences (1)

Lemma: Let $u, v \in T$ with $\bullet u \cap v \bullet=\emptyset$.
If $M \xrightarrow{v u} M^{\prime}$, then $M \xrightarrow{u v} M^{\prime}$


## Exchange lemma:

## finite sequences (2)

Lemma: Let $V \subset T$ and $u \in T \backslash V$, with $\bullet u \cap V \bullet=\emptyset$. If $M \xrightarrow{\sigma u} M^{\prime}$ with $\sigma \in V^{*}$, then $M \xrightarrow{u \sigma} M^{\prime}$

$$
M^{\stackrel{v_{1}}{\longrightarrow} \xrightarrow{v_{2}} \xrightarrow{\because v_{n-1}} \xrightarrow{v_{n}} \xrightarrow{u} M^{\prime}, ~}
$$

## Exchange lemma:

## finite sequences (2)

Lemma: Let $V \subset T$ and $u \in T \backslash V$, with $\bullet u \cap V \bullet=\emptyset$. If $M \xrightarrow{\sigma u} M^{\prime}$ with $\sigma \in V^{*}$, then $M \xrightarrow{u \sigma} M^{\prime}$

$$
M^{\stackrel{v_{1}}{\longrightarrow} \xrightarrow{v_{2}} \xrightarrow{\because} \xrightarrow{v_{n-1}} \xrightarrow{u} \xrightarrow{v_{n}}} M^{\prime}
$$

## Exchange lemma:

## finite sequences (2)

Lemma: Let $V \subset T$ and $u \in T \backslash V$, with $\bullet u \cap V \bullet=\emptyset$. If $M \xrightarrow{\sigma u} M^{\prime}$ with $\sigma \in V^{*}$, then $M \xrightarrow{u \sigma} M^{\prime}$

$$
M \xrightarrow{\underline{u} \xrightarrow{v_{1}} \xrightarrow{v_{2}} \xrightarrow{\because} \xrightarrow{v_{n-1}} \xrightarrow{v_{n}}} M^{\prime}
$$

## Exchange lemma:

## finite sequences (2)

Lemma: Let $V \subset T$ and $u \in T \backslash V$, with $\bullet u \cap V \bullet=\emptyset$.
If $M \xrightarrow{\sigma u} M^{\prime}$ with $\sigma \in V^{*}$, then $M \xrightarrow{u \sigma} M^{\prime}$
The proof is by induction on the length of $\sigma$
base ( $\sigma=\epsilon$ ): trivially $M \xrightarrow{u} M^{\prime}$
induction ( $\sigma=\sigma^{\prime} v$ for some $\sigma^{\prime} \in V^{*}$ and $v \in V$ ):
Let $M \xrightarrow{\sigma^{\prime}} M^{\prime \prime} \xrightarrow{v u} M^{\prime}$. Note that $\bullet u \cap v \bullet=\emptyset$
By exchange lemma 1: $M \xrightarrow{\sigma^{\prime}} M^{\prime \prime} \xrightarrow{u v} M^{\prime}$.
Let $M \xrightarrow{\sigma^{\prime} u} M^{\prime \prime \prime} \xrightarrow{v} M^{\prime}$.
By inductive hypothesis: $M \xrightarrow{u \sigma^{\prime}} M^{\prime \prime \prime} \xrightarrow{v} M^{\prime}$
Thus, $M \xrightarrow{u \sigma} M^{\prime}$

## Exchange lemma:

## finite sequences (3)

Lemma: Let $U, V \subset T$ and $U \cap V=\emptyset$, with $\bullet U \cap V \bullet=\emptyset$. If $M \xrightarrow{\sigma} M^{\prime}$ with $\sigma \in(U \cup V)^{*}$, then $M \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mid U} \sigma_{\mid} V} M^{\prime}$


## Exchange lemma:

## finite sequences (3)

Lemma: Let $U, V \subset T$ and $U \cap V=\emptyset$, with $\bullet U \cap V \bullet=\emptyset$. If $M \xrightarrow{\sigma} M^{\prime}$ with $\sigma \in(U \cup V)^{*}$, then $M \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mid U} \sigma_{\mid V}} M^{\prime}$

[/


## Exchange lemma:

## finite sequences (3)

Lemma: Let $U, V \subset T$ and $U \cap V=\emptyset$, with $\bullet U \cap V \bullet=\emptyset$. If $M \xrightarrow{\sigma} M^{\prime}$ with $\sigma \in(U \cup V)^{*}$, then $M \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mid U} \sigma_{\mid V}} M^{\prime}$




## Exchange lemma:

## finite sequences (3)

Lemma: Let $U, V \subset T$ and $U \cap V=\emptyset$, with $\bullet U \cap V \bullet=\emptyset$. If $M \xrightarrow{\sigma} M^{\prime}$ with $\sigma \in(U \cup V)^{*}$, then $M \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mid U} \sigma_{\mid} V} M^{\prime}$

$M$

$$
\xrightarrow{u_{1}} \stackrel{u_{2}}{\cdots} \xrightarrow{u_{m}} \xrightarrow{u_{1}} \xrightarrow{u_{1} u_{2} \ldots u_{m-1} u_{m}}
$$

$$
\stackrel{v_{1}}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{v_{2}}{\cdots} \xrightarrow{v_{n-1}} \xrightarrow{v_{n}} \prod / \Gamma
$$

## Exchange lemma:

## finite sequences (3)

Lemma: Let $U, V \subset T$ and $U \cap V=\emptyset$, with $\bullet U \cap V \bullet=\emptyset$. If $M \xrightarrow{\sigma} M^{\prime}$ with $\sigma \in(U \cup V)^{*}$, then $M \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mid U} \sigma_{\mid} V} M^{\prime}$

## $M$


$M^{\prime}$

## Exchange lemma:

## finite sequences (3)

Lemma: Let $U, V \subset T$ and $U \cap V=\emptyset$, with $\bullet U \cap V \bullet=\emptyset$.
If $M \xrightarrow{\sigma} M^{\prime}$ with $\sigma \in(U \cup V)^{*}$, then $M \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mid U} \sigma_{\mid V}} M^{\prime}$
The proof is by induction on the length of $\sigma_{\mid U}$
base ( $\sigma_{\mid U}=\epsilon$ ): trivially $\sigma_{\mid V}=\sigma$
induction ( $\sigma_{\mid U}=u \sigma^{\prime}$ for some $u \in U$ and $\sigma^{\prime} \in U^{*}$ ):
Let $M \xrightarrow{\sigma_{0}} \xrightarrow{u} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{1}} M^{\prime}$, with $\sigma=\sigma_{0} u \sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{0} \in V^{*}$.
Note that $\sigma^{\prime}=\left(\sigma_{1}\right)_{\mid U}$ and $\bullet u \cap V \bullet=\emptyset$

By exchange lemma 2: $M \xrightarrow{u} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{0}} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{1}} M^{\prime}$.
Note that $\left(\sigma_{0} \sigma_{1}\right)_{\mid U}=\left(\sigma_{1}\right)_{\mid U}=\sigma^{\prime}$ and $\left(\sigma_{0} \sigma_{1}\right)_{\mid V}=\sigma_{\mid V}$.
By inductive hypothesis: $M \xrightarrow{u} \xrightarrow{\sigma^{\prime}} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mid V}} M^{\prime}$
Since $\sigma_{\mid U}=u \sigma^{\prime}$, we conclude that $M \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mid U}} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mid V}} M^{\prime}$

## Notation A $^{\text {w }}$

Given a set $A$ we denote by $A^{\omega}$ the set of infinite sequences of elements in $A$, i.e.: $A^{\omega}=\left\{a_{1} a_{2} \cdots \mid a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots \in A\right\}$

## Exchange lemma:

## infinite sequences (4)

Lemma: Let $U, V \subset T$ and $U \cap V=\emptyset$, with $\bullet U \cap V \bullet=\emptyset$. If $M \xrightarrow{\sigma}$ with $\sigma \in(U \cup V)^{\omega}$ and $\sigma_{\mid U} \in U^{*}$, then $M \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mid U \sigma} \sigma^{V}}$


## Exchange lemma:

## infinite sequences (4)

Lemma: Let $U, V \subset T$ and $U \cap V=\emptyset$, with $\bullet U \cap V \bullet=\emptyset$. If $M \xrightarrow{\sigma}$ with $\sigma \in(U \cup V)^{\omega}$ and $\sigma_{\mid U} \in U^{*}$, then $M \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mid U \sigma_{\mid V}}}$




## Exchange lemma:

## infinite sequences (4)

Lemma: Let $U, V \subset T$ and $U \cap V=\emptyset$, with $\bullet U \cap V \bullet=\emptyset$. If $M \xrightarrow{\sigma}$ with $\sigma \in(U \cup V)^{\omega}$ and $\sigma_{\mid U} \in U^{*}$, then $M \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mid U \sigma_{\mid V}}}$




## Exchange lemma:

## infinite sequences (4)

Lemma: Let $U, V \subset T$ and $U \cap V=\emptyset$, with $\bullet U \cap V \bullet=\emptyset$. If $M \xrightarrow{\sigma}$ with $\sigma \in(U \cup V)^{\omega}$ and $\sigma_{\mid U} \in U^{*}$, then $M \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mid U \sigma}{ }^{V}}$


M

$$
\xrightarrow{v_{1}} \stackrel{v_{2}}{\cdots} \stackrel{v_{n-1}}{\square} \xrightarrow{v_{n}} \xrightarrow{\cdots}
$$

## Exchange lemma:

## infinite sequences (4)

Lemma: Let $U, V \subset T$ and $U \cap V=\emptyset$, with $\bullet U \cap V \bullet=\emptyset$. If $M \xrightarrow{\sigma}$ with $\sigma \in(U \cup V)^{\omega}$ and $\sigma_{\mid U} \in U^{*}$, then $M \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mid U \sigma}{ }^{V}}$


## Exchange lemma:

## infinite sequences (4)

Lemma: Let $U, V \subset T$ and $U \cap V=\emptyset$, with $\bullet U \cap V \bullet=\emptyset$. If $M \xrightarrow{\sigma}$ with $\sigma \in(U \cup V)^{\omega}$ and $\sigma_{\mid U} \in U^{*}$, then $M \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mid U \sigma}{ }^{V}}$

Let $\sigma=\sigma^{\prime} \sigma^{\prime \prime}$ with $\sigma_{\mid U}^{\prime}=\sigma_{\mid U}$ and $\sigma_{\mid V}^{\prime \prime}=\sigma^{\prime \prime}$
(i.e., only transitions in $V$ appears in $\sigma^{\prime \prime}$ ).

Such sequences exist because $\sigma_{\mid U}$ is assumed to be finite.
Let $M^{\prime}$ be such that $M \xrightarrow{\sigma^{\prime}} M^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\sigma^{\prime \prime}}$.
By Exchange Lemma (3) applied to $\sigma^{\prime}$ we have:
$M \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mid U}^{\prime} \sigma_{\mid V}^{\prime}} M^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\sigma^{\prime \prime}}$.
We conclude by observing that:
$\sigma_{\mid U}=\sigma_{\mid U}^{\prime}$ and $\sigma_{\mid V}=\sigma_{\mid V}^{\prime} \sigma_{38}^{\prime \prime}$

## Exchange lemma:

## infinite sequences (5)

Lemma: Let $U, V \subset T$ and $U \cap V=\emptyset$, with $\bullet U \cap V \bullet=\emptyset$. If $M \xrightarrow{\sigma}$ with $\sigma \in(U \cup V)^{\omega}$ and $\sigma_{\mid U} \in U^{\omega}$, then $M \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mid U}}$


## Exchange lemma:

## infinite sequences (5)

Lemma: Let $U, V \subset T$ and $U \cap V=\emptyset$, with $\bullet U \cap V \bullet=\emptyset$. If $M \xrightarrow{\sigma}$ with $\sigma \in(U \cup V)^{\omega}$ and $\sigma_{\mid U} \in U^{\omega}$, then $M \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mid U}}$




## Exchange lemma:

## infinite sequences (5)

Lemma: Let $U, V \subset T$ and $U \cap V=\emptyset$, with $\bullet U \cap V \bullet=\emptyset$.
If $M \xrightarrow{\sigma}$ with $\sigma \in(U \cup V)^{\omega}$ and $\sigma_{\mid U} \in U^{\omega}$, then $M \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mid U}}$


I/

## Exchange lemma:

## infinite sequences (5)

Lemma: Let $U, V \subset T$ and $U \cap V=\emptyset$, with $\bullet U \cap V \bullet=\emptyset$.
If $M \xrightarrow{\sigma}$ with $\sigma \in(U \cup V)^{\omega}$ and $\sigma_{\mid U} \in U^{\omega}$, then $M \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mid U}}$

enabled
finite prefix

## Exchange lemma:

## infinite sequences (5)

Lemma: Let $U, V \subset T$ and $U \cap V=\emptyset$, with $\bullet U \cap V \bullet=\emptyset$.
If $M \xrightarrow{\sigma}$ with $\sigma \in(U \cup V)^{\omega}$ and $\sigma_{\mid U} \in U^{\omega}$, then $M \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mid U}}$
To prove that $M \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mid U}}$ it suffices to show that every finite prefix of $\sigma_{\mid U}$ is enabled at $M$.

Take any finite prefix $\tau^{\prime}$ of $\sigma_{\mid U}$ and a corresponding finite prefix $\tau$ of $\sigma$ such that $\tau_{\mid U}=\tau^{\prime}$.

Clearly $M \xrightarrow{\tau} M^{\prime}$ for some suitable $M^{\prime}$.
By Exchange Lemma (3), then $M \xrightarrow{\tau_{\mid U} \tau_{\mid V}} M^{\prime}$, i.e.: $M$ enables $\tau_{\mid U}=\tau^{\prime}$.

# Proofs of theorems on strong connectedness (optional reading) 

## Strong connectedness <br> theorem

## Theorem: If a weakly connected system is live and bounded then it is strongly connected

Since the system is live and bounded, by a previous corollary:(see Lecture 10) exists $M \in\left[M_{0}\right\rangle$ and $\sigma$ such that $M \xrightarrow{\sigma} M$ and all transitions in $T$ occur in $\sigma$.

Take any arc $x \rightarrow y$ in $F$ :
we need to show that there is a path from $y$ to $x$ using arcs of $F$.
We distinguish two cases:

1. $x \in P$ and $y \in T$
2. $x \in T$ and $y \in P$

## Strong connectedness theorem (case 1)

Let $V=\left\{v \in T \mid y \rightarrow^{*} v\right\}$ and $U=T \backslash V$. ( $V$ is the set of transitions reachable from $y$ ) Note that $U$ and $V$ are disjoint and that ${ }^{\bullet} U \cap V^{\bullet}=\emptyset$.
(to see this, suppose $q \in{ }^{\bullet} U \cap V^{\bullet}$ then $v \rightarrow q \rightarrow u$ for some $v \in V$ and $u \in U$, but then $u \in V$, which is impossible because $U=T \backslash V$ )

By the Exchange Lemma (3), there exists $M^{\prime}$ with $M \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mid U}} M^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mid V}} M$ We claim that $M \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mid V}} M$.
(we want to find a path from $y$ to $x$ )

- if $\sigma_{\mid U}=\epsilon$ (i.e., $\sigma$ does not contain any transition in $U$ ), then $\sigma_{\mid V}=\sigma$.
- otherwise $\left(\sigma_{\mid U} \neq \epsilon\right)$, we can apply the Exchange Lemma (5) to $M \xrightarrow{\sigma \sigma \cdots}$ to get $M \xrightarrow{(\sigma \sigma \cdots)_{\mid U}}$, ie., $M \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mid U} \sigma_{\mid U} \cdots}$.
Since $\sigma_{\mid U}$ can occur infinitely often from $M$, then $M^{\prime} \supseteq M$.
By the Boundedness Lemma $M^{\prime}=M$ and $M \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mid V}} M$.
Since $y \in V, y$ occurs in $\sigma_{\mid V}$ and $y \in x^{\bullet}$, then $\quad(y$ subtracts a token from $x)$ there must be some transition $v$ that occurs in $\sigma_{\mid V}$ such that $v \in{ }^{\bullet} x . \quad$ ( $v$ adds a token to $x$ )

Since $v \in V$, there is a path $y \rightarrow^{*} v$.
We can extend this path by the arc $(v, x)$ to get a path $y \rightarrow^{*} x$.

## Strong connectedness theorem (case 2)

( $U$ is the set of transitions from which $x$ is reachable)
Let $U=\left\{u \in T \mid u \rightarrow^{*} x\right\}$ and $V=T \backslash U$.
Note that $U$ and $V$ are disjoint and that ${ }^{\bullet} U \cap V^{\bullet}=\emptyset$.

By the Exchange Lemma (3), there exists $M^{\prime}$ with $M \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mid U}} M^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mid V}} M$
By the Exchange Lemma (5) applied to $M \xrightarrow{\sigma \sigma \cdots}$
we get $M \xrightarrow{(\sigma \sigma \cdots)_{\mid U}}$, ie., $M \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mid U} \sigma_{\mid U} \cdots}$.
Since $\sigma_{\mid U}$ can occur infinitely often from $M$, then $M^{\prime} \supseteq M$.
By the Boundedness Lemma $M^{\prime}=M$ and $M \xrightarrow{\sigma_{\mid U}} M$.
Since $x \in U, x$ occurs in $\sigma_{\mid U}$ and $x \in \bullet y$, then ( $x$ adds a token to $y$ ) there must be some transition $u$ that occurs in $\sigma_{\mid U}$ such that $u \in y^{\bullet}$.
( $u$ subtracts a token from $y$ )
Since $u \in U$, there is a path $u \rightarrow^{*} x$.
We can extend this path by the arc $(y, u)$ to get a path $y \rightarrow^{*} x$.

# Strong connectedness via invariants 

Theorem: If a weakly connected net has a positive $\mathbf{S}$-invariant I and a positive T-invariant $\mathbf{J}$ then it is strongly connected

Take any arc $x \rightarrow y$ in $F$ :
we need to show that there is a path from $y$ to $x$ using arcs of $F$. We distinguish two cases:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1. } x \in P \text { and } y \in T \\
& \text { 2. } x \in T \text { and } y \in P
\end{aligned}
$$

# Strong connectedness <br> <br> via invariants: case (1) 

 <br> <br> via invariants: case (1)}

Let $V=\left\{v \in T \mid y \rightarrow^{*} v\right\}$ and define:
$J^{\prime}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}\mathbf{J}(t) & \text { if } t \in V & (V \text { is the set of transitions reachable from } y) \\ 0 & \text { otherwise } & \text { (we want to find a } \\ \text { path from } \mathrm{y} \text { to } \mathrm{x})\end{array}\right.$
Take $p \in P$ :

- if $J^{\prime}(u)=0$ for all $u \in{ }^{\bullet} p$, then:

$$
0=\sum_{u \in \bullet p} J^{\prime}(u) \leq \sum_{t \in p^{\bullet}} J^{\prime}(t)
$$

(because $J^{\prime}$ has no negative entries).

- otherwise, assume that $J^{\prime}(u)=\mathbf{J}(u)>0$ for some $u \in{ }^{\bullet} p$, i.e., $y \rightarrow^{*} u \rightarrow p$. Then, for any $t \in p^{\bullet}: y \rightarrow^{*} t$ and $J^{\prime}(t)=\mathbf{J}(t)>0$. So:

$$
0<\sum_{u \in \bullet p} J^{\prime}(u) \leq \sum_{u \in \bullet p} \mathbf{J}(u)=\sum_{t \in p^{\bullet}} \mathbf{J}(t)=\sum_{t \in p^{\bullet}} J^{\prime}(t)
$$

# Strong connectedness <br> <br> via invariants: case (1) 

 <br> <br> via invariants: case (1)}

In both cases:

$$
\sum_{u \in \bullet p} J^{\prime}(u) \leq \sum_{t \in p} J^{\prime}(t)
$$

Then: $\left(\mathbf{N} \cdot J^{\prime}\right)(p)=\sum_{u \in \bullet p} J^{\prime}(u)-\sum_{t \in p^{\bullet}} J^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$ for any $p \in P$,
ie., $\mathbf{N} \cdot J^{\prime}$ has no positive entries.
Since $\mathbf{I}$ is an S-invariant: $\mathbf{I} \cdot\left(\mathbf{N} \cdot J^{\prime}\right)=(\mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{N}) \cdot J^{\prime}=0$ and since $\mathbf{I}$ is positive, $\mathbf{N} \cdot J^{\prime}=\mathbf{0}$, ie., $J^{\prime}$ is a T-invariant. Hence:

$$
\sum_{t \in \cdot x} J^{\prime}(t)=\sum_{t \in x} J^{\prime}(t) \geq J^{\prime}(y)=\mathbf{J}(y)>0
$$

So there exists $v \in \bullet x$ with $J^{\prime}(v)>0$, which means $v \in V$, ie., $y \rightarrow^{*} v$. Since $v \in{ }^{\bullet} x$, then $y \rightarrow^{*} x$.

# Strong connectedness via invariants: case (2) 

Take $N^{\prime}=(T, P, F)$
$\mathrm{N}^{\prime} \quad$ (i.e., invert the roles of places and transitions).


Then, $\mathbf{N}^{\prime}=-\mathbf{N}^{\top}$ (where $\mathbf{N}^{\top}$ is the transposed of $\mathbf{N}$ )
I is a positive T-invariant of $N^{\prime}$.
$\mathbf{J}$ is a positive S -invariant of $N^{\prime}$.
By case (1), $N^{\prime}$ contains a path from $y$ to $x$. So, $N$ contains a path from $y$ to $x$.

