The SPIN Model Checker

Metodi di Verifica del Software

Andrea Corradini

Lezione 2

2013

Slides per gentile concessione di Gerard J. Holzmann

process synchronization with provided clauses



basic statements

- basic statements define the primitive state transformers in Promela
- they end up labeling the edges (transitions) in the underlying finite state automata
- there is only a very small number of basic statements in Promela



6 types of basic statements

- assignment: x++, x--, x = x+1, x = run P()
- expression statement: (x), (1), run P(), skip, true, else, timeout

q!m

- print: printf("x = %d\n", x)
- assertion:

- assert(1+1==2); assert(false)
- send:
- receive: q?m

4

executability of basic statements

- a Promela statement is either
 - executable the statement *can* be executed, or
 - state of the system the statement *cannot* be executed (yet)
- 3 types of basic statements we have already seen
 - orint statements
 - always unconditionally executable, no effect on state
 - assignment statements
 - always unconditionally executable, changes value of precisely one variable, specified on the left-hand side of the '=' operator
 - expression statements
 - executable only if expression evaluates to non-zero (true)
 - 2 < 3 is always executable
 - x < 27 executable iff the value of x is less than 27
 - + \mathbf{x} executable iff \mathbf{x} is not equal to -3

the executability of a statement may

depend on the global

statement interleaving

- processes execute concurrently and asynchronously
 - there can be an arbitrarily long pause in between any two statement executions within a process
- process *scheduling* decisions are non-deterministic
- statement executions from different processes are arbitrarily interleaved in time
 - basic statements execute atomically
- local choice within processes can also be non-deterministic

2 levels of nondeterminism: system level (process selection) process level (statement selection) b2 b1 a1 a1 b2 a2 a2 6 possible interleavings of a1;a2 and b1;b2

executability

expression statements are first-class citizens in Promela an expression statement can be used as a synchronizer: it is executable only if it evaluates to non-zero (true)



7

pseudo statements

- some pseudo-statements:
 - skip always executable, no effect, same as expression (1)
 - true always executable, no effect on state, same as expression (1)
- there is no "run statement" run is an operator that can appear in restricted expression statements...
 - returns 0 if the max nr of processes would be exceeded by the creation of a new process (the number of processes is bounded)
 - returns the pid of the new process otherwise



run expressions are special

- a run operator can only be used in *special* expressions
- all run-free expressions in Promela are side-effect free
 - they can be evaluated without causing a change of state
 - unlike in C, e.g. where one could say: (x++ <= --y)
- there can be only one run operator in an expression and if there is one, there can be no other clauses; ruling out:
 - (run B() && run A()) could fail with partial side-effect
 - !(run B()) same as expr: (_nr_pr >= 255)
 - run B() && (a > b) could start an arbitrary number of copies of B() while (a <= b)
- it is typically a modeling *error* if run can ever return 0

another type of basic statement (#4)

assert(expression)

- an assertion statement is always executable and has no effect on the state of the system when executed
- Spin reports a error if the expression can evaluate to zero (false),
- the assertion statement can be used to check safety properties (properties of local process states or global system states)

```
int n;
active proctype invariant()
{
    assert(n <= 3)
}</pre>
```

this process has only one executable statement – because it is an *asynchronous* process, this statement might be executed at any time – it need not execute immediately this is precisely the capability we want in verification, when checking a system invariant condition: it should hold no matter when the assertion is checked the model checker will make sure this is true

example: mutual exclusion allow only 1 process in a critical section at a time without relying on a hardware test&set instruction



a model checking run

\$ spin -a mutex1
\$ gcc -DSAFETY -o pan pan.c
\$./pan

guided simulation of the counter-example that was generated

\$ spin -t -p mutex1

Peterson's algorithm (1981)

```
mtype = { A Turn, B Turn };
bool x, y; /* signal entering/leaving the section */
byte mutex; /* # of procs in the critical section
                                                        */
mtype turn = A Turn; /* who's turn is it?
                                                        */
active proctype A()
                                   active proctype B()
\{ x = true; \}
                                  \{ y = true; \}
                                   turn = A Turn;
  turn = B Turn;
                                  (!x || turn == B Turn) ->
  (!y || turn == A Turn) ->
                                     mutex++;
 mutex++;
 /* critical section */
                                 /* critical section */
 mutex--;
                                    mutex--;
                                     y = false;
  x = false;
                                   }
}
active proctype invariant()
{ assert(mutex <= 1);</pre>
}
```

basic data types

(book, Table 3.1 p. 41)

Туре	Typical Range	Sample Declaration	
bit bool byte chan mtype pid short int unsigned	01 falsetrue 0255 1255 1255 0255 -2 ¹⁵ 2 ¹⁵ -1 -2 ³¹ 2 ³¹ -1 02 ⁿ -1	bit turn = 1; bool flag = true; byte cnt; chan q; mtype msg; pid p; short s = 100; int x = 1; unsigned u : 3;	3 bits of storage range 07

the default initial value of all data objects (global and local) is zero

all variables (local and global) must be declared before they are used a variable declaration can appear anywhere...

> note: there are no reals, floats, or pointers deliberately: verification models are meant to model coordination not computation

mtype declarations (originally used for: *m*essage *type* declarations)

- a way to introduce symbolic constant values
- mtype declaration:

mtype = { apple, pear, banana, cherry };
mtype = { ack, msg, err, interrupt }; /* up to 255 names total */

declaring variables of type mtype:

mtype a;	/* uninitialized, value 0 */
mtype b = pear;	/* value always non-zero */

expression evaluation

- all expressions are evaluated in the widest type (int)
- in assignments and message passing operations, the resulting value is mapped (truncated) to the target type *after* evaluation
 - the Spin simulator warns if there is loss of information
 - the Spin parser rejects only grievous type errors

arrays and user-defined data types



an indirect way to define multi-dimensional arrays with typedefs and macros

alternatively:
efine ab(x,y) a[x].b[y]
(3,2) = ab(2,3) + ab(3,2)
e standard C preprocessor is used preprocess all models before parsing pports: #define
#if #ifdef #ifndef #include "…"



message channels

message passing takes place via *channels* (bounded queues/buffers) either buffered (asynchronously) or unbuffered (by synchronous *rendezvous* handshake) type name variable name initializer sample channel declaration: chan x = [10] of {int, short, bit}; maximum nr of msgs the channel can store zero defines a rendezvous channel structure of messages that can be sent through the channel a list of type names: one for each field in the message uninstantiated channel variable a a rendezvous channel c chan a; chan c $= [0] of {bit};$ channels can be sent chan toR = [2] of {mtype, bit, chan}; across channels chan line[2] = [1] of {mtype, record}; an array of 2 channels a user-defined type

send and receive

send: ch!expr₁, ... expr_n

- values of expri correspond to the types from the chan declaration
- *executable* if the target channel is *not full*

receive: ch?const₁ or var₁, ... const_n or var_n

- var, fields are set to the value from the corresponding field in the message
- const, fields are constraints on the corresponding fields that must be matched
- *executable* when the target channel is *not empty* and the first message matches all constant fields in the receive

example: #define ack 5 chan ch = [N] of { int, bit }; bit seqno; ch!ack,0; ch?ack,seqno

alternatively: ch!ack(0); ch?ack(seqno)

asynchronous and synchronous message passing





synchronous with channel capacity 0, as in:

chan ch = [0] of { mtype }; can only perform an rv handshake not store messages sender blocks until matching receiver is available and vice versa

rendezvous channels

- rendezvous message passing
 - the size of the channel is declared to be zero
 - a send operation is enabled (a send offer) iff there is a matching receive operation that can be executed simultaneously, with all constant fields matching
 - on a match, both send and receive are executed *atomically*
- example:

chan ch = [0] of {bit, byte};

- P offers: ch!1,3+7
 - Q accepts: ch?1,x

message must match value 1 in the first message field, but can accept any value in the second message field (x)

- after the rendezvous handshake completes, x has value 10

example: modeling a semaphore

```
mtype = \{ P, V \};
chan sema = [0] of { mtype };
active proctype semaphore()
{
L: sema!P -> sema?V; goto L
}
active [5] proctype user()
{
    /* non-critical */
L:
    sema?P \rightarrow
    /* critical */
    sema!V;
    goto L
}
```



```
P – passeren (Dutch)
V - vrijgeven
```

other operations on channels

- len(q) returns the number of messages in q
- empty(q) true when q is currently empty
- full(q) true when q is filled to capacity
- nempty(q) added to support optimization
- nfull(q) added to support optimization

used instead of !empty(q) or !full(q) the parser makes this easy to remember: it rejects the negated forms

brackets, braces channel poll

- q?[n,m,p]
 - is now a side-effect free Boolean *expression*
 - evaluates to *true* precisely when q?n,m,p is executable, but has *no* effect on n,m,p and does *not* change contents of q
- q?<n,m,p>
 - is executable iff q?n,m,p is executable; has the same effect on n,m,p as q?n,m,p, but does not change contents of q
- q?n<mark>(</mark>m,p)
 - alternative notation for standard receive; same as q?n,m,p
 - sometimes useful for separating type from args

the scope of a chan declaration

- the name of a channel can be local or global, but the channel itself is always a global object....
- this makes obscure things like this work:

```
chan x = [3] of { chan }; /* global handle, visible to both A and B */
active proctype A()
                          /* uninitialized local channel */
        chan a;
{
                          /* get channel id, provided by process B */
        x?a;
                          /* and start using b's channel! */
        a!x
}
active proctype B()
        chan b = [2] of { chan }; /* initialized local channel */
{
        x!b;
                          /* make channel b available to A
                                                               */
                          /* value of x doesn't really change */
        b?x;
                          /* avoid death of B, or else b dissappears */
        0
```

macros – the cpp preprocessor

- all Spin models are by default processed by the standard C preprocessor for *file-inclusion* and *macro expansion*
- typical uses

- constants	#define MAXQ	2		or:		
	chan q = [MAXQ] o	of { mtype, o	chan };	spin	-DMAXQ=2	model

macros

#define RESET(a) \
 atomic { a[0]=0; a[1]=0; a[2]=0; a[3]=0 }

	#define LOSSY 1
 conditional code 	<pre> #ifdef LOSSY active proctype Daemon() { /* steal messages */ } #endif</pre>
	<pre>#if 0 comments #endif</pre>

the scope of a data object

- there are only *two* levels of scope:
 - global (data visible to all active processes)
 - local (data visible to only the process that contains the declaration)
 - there is no sub-scope (e.g., for blocks or *inlines*)
 - the scope of a local variable is *always* the complete proctype body

defining control flow

- 5 ways to define control flow structures in proctypes:
 - the obvious: semi-colons, gotos and labels
 - structuring aids:
 - inlines
 - macros
 - atomic sequences, making things indivisible:
 - atomic { ... }
 - d_step { ... }
 - non-deterministic selection and iteration
 - if .. fi
 - do .. od
 - escape sequences, for error handling/interrupts:
 - { ... } unless { ... }

non-deterministic selection

```
 \begin{array}{l} \text{if} \\ \therefore \ guard_1 \ -> \ stmnt_{1.1}; \ stmnt_{1.2}; \ stmnt_{1.3}; \ \dots \\ \therefore \ guard_2 \ -> \ stmnt_{2.1}; \ stmnt_{2.2}; \ stmnt_{2.3}; \ \dots \\ \vdots \ \dots \\ \vdots \ guard_n \ -> \ stmnt_{n.1}; \ stmnt_{n.2}; \ stmnt_{n.3}; \ \dots \\ fi \end{array}
```

- if at least one guard is executable, the if statement is executable
- if more than one guard is executable, one is selected non-deterministically
- if none of the guard statements is executable, the if statement *blocks*
- any type of basic or compound statement can be used as a guard

inspired by Dijkstra's guarded command language, but the semantics differ: the if does not abort when all guards are unexecutable: Recommded reading: E.W. Dijkstra, Guarded commands, nondeterminacy, and formal derivation of programs. Comm. ACM, Aug. 1975, Vol. 18, No. 8, pp. 453-457.

the if-statement



the predefined expression 'else'



timeout



checking for bad timeouts: spin –Dtimeout=true model

wait until an expected message arrives, or recover when the system as a whole gets stuck (e.g., due to message loss)

> Q: could you use 'else' instead of 'timeout' in this context?

timeout and else

- timeout and else are strangely related
 - both are predefined Boolean expressions
 - they evaluate to *true* or *false*, depending on context
- **else** is *true* iff no other statement in the same *process* is executable
- timeout is *true* iff no other statement in the same *system* is executable
- a timeout can be seen as a system level else
 - else cannot be combined with other conditionals
 - timeout can be combined, e.g. as in (timeout && a > b)