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# Individual mobility laws and models 

## Understanding the laws of individual human mobility

- is there a typical traveling distance?
- can we profile individuals according to their mobility behavior?
- to what extent are humans predictable?
- are there typical mobility motifs?


## Modelling individual human mobility

- What determines the decision to start a trip?
- What determines the choice of the destination?
- What determines the decision to come back home or to explore new locations?
- Can we generate realistic individual trajectories?


## Distances

## Travel distance (jump length)

## Earth distance

Distance between two consecutive locations visited by a moving object

$$
r=\left|\mathbf{x}_{2}-\mathbf{x}_{1}\right|
$$




## Travel distance probability

$$
P(r)=\text { probability of finding a trip of length } r
$$

## What's the shape <br> of this distribution?

## A Pareto Distribution vs. a <br> Gaussian Curve

A normal distribution (i.e., a Gaussian curve) is bell-shaped, whereas a Pareto distribution (i.e., power law) is shaped like a hockey stick with long tails.


## Tracking of dollar bills



## Tracking of dollar bills

## Brockmann et al., 2006:

- Dollar bills: 464,670
- Records: 1,033,095
- Area: US
(excluding Alaska and Hawaii)


Trajectories of bank notes originating from four different places with travelling time $T<14$ days.

## Tracking of dollar bills

- Most bank notes are reported close the initial entry, $r \leq 10 \mathrm{~km}$
- Seattle $53 \%$, NYC 58\%, Jacksonville 71\%
- A small but considerable fraction is reported at large distances, $r>800 \mathrm{~km}$
- Seattle 8\%, NYC 7\%, Jacksonville 3\%


Trajectories of bank notes originating from four different places with travelling time $T<14$ days.

## Tracking of dollar bills

- Probability of traversing a distance in 1-4 days (20,540 bills)
$P(r) \sim r^{-(1+\beta)}$
$\beta=0.59 \pm 0.02$


Measured $P(r)$ of traversing a distance in less than $T=4$ days. The inset shows $P(r)$ for metropolitan areas, cities of intermediate size, small towns.

## Mobile Phone Records

## González et al., 2008:

- Dataset D1 (CDRs):
- Users: 100,000
- Records: 16,264,308
- Dataset D2 (CPRs):
- Users: 206
- Records: 10,407



Service area delimit $\supset$ Recorded path

Week-long trajectory of 40 mobile phone users

Detailed trajectory of a single user

- 186 two-hourly reports
- 12 locations.

The circle represents the radius of gyration centred in the user's centre of mass.

## Mobile Phone Records



Measured $P(r)$ of travel distances obtained for D1 and D2. The solid line indicates a truncated power law.

$$
P(r)=\left(r+r_{0}\right)^{-\beta} \exp (-r / \kappa)
$$

$$
\beta=1.75 \pm 0.15
$$

$$
r_{0}=1.5 \mathrm{~km}
$$

$$
\kappa_{D_{1}}=400 \mathrm{~km}
$$

$$
\kappa_{D_{2}}=80 \mathrm{~km}
$$

## Radius of gyration

Characteristic distance of an individual

$$
r_{g}(u)=\sqrt{\frac{1}{n_{u}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{u}}\left(\mathbf{r}_{i}-\mathbf{r}_{c m}\right)^{2}}
$$

Center of mass

$$
\mathbf{r}_{c m}=\frac{1}{n_{u}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{u}} \mathbf{r}_{i}
$$

$n_{u}$ number of records
$\mathbf{r}_{i}$ position

## Radius of gyration



## Radius of gyration



$$
\begin{array}{r}
P\left(r_{g}\right)=\left(r_{g}+r_{g}^{0}\right)^{-\beta_{r}} \exp \left(-r_{g} / \kappa\right) \\
r_{g}^{0}=5.8 \mathrm{~km} \\
\beta_{r}=1.65 \pm 0.15 \\
\kappa=350 \mathrm{~km}
\end{array}
$$

Measured $P\left(r_{q}\right)$ on datasets D1 and D2. The dotted, dashed and dot-dashed curves show $P(\mathrm{rg})$ obtained from null models

## Radius of gyration - time evolution



Radius of gyration versus time for users of three groups. The black curves correspond to the analytical predictions for the random walk models. The dashed curves corresponding to a logarithmic fit.

- Radius increases logarithmically with time
- Indicating a saturation process


## Location frequency



Frequency of visiting locations for users observed to visit 5 , 10,30 and 50 locations. $L$ is the rank of the location listed in the order of the visit frequency. $40 \%$ of the time individuals are found at their first two preferred locations

- Rank each location based on how many times an individual is recorded there
- E.g., L=3 is the third-most-visited location for an individual

$$
P(L) \sim 1 / L
$$

People devote most of their time to a few locations, spending their time to places with diminished regularity

## k-radius of gyration

Recurrent
characteristic distance of an individual

$$
r_{g}^{(k)}=\sqrt{\frac{1}{N_{k}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} w_{i}\left(\mathbf{r}_{i}-\mathbf{r}_{c m}^{(k)}\right)^{2}}
$$

k-center of mass

$$
\mathbf{r}_{c m}^{(k)}=\frac{1}{N_{k}} \sum_{i=1}^{k} w_{i} \mathbf{r}_{i}
$$

$N_{k} \quad$ number of records in location $k$

## Mobile Phone Records

Pappalardo et al., 2015:

- CDRs:
- Users: 67,000
- GPS traces:
- Users: 46,000



## Returners and Explorers



Correlation between total rg and $\mathrm{rg}^{(k)}$ for $\mathrm{k}=2,4,8$ for CDRs and GPS traces. Each point is coloured from blue to red, indicating the density of points in the corresponding region.

## Returners and Explorers

2-Returners

$$
r_{\mathrm{g}} \approx 10 \mathrm{~km}
$$

2-Explorers

## Returners and Explorers



## Returners and Explorers



## INTERVALLO

## Vilfredo Pareto and the 80/20 rule



He noticed that in Italy a few wealthy individuals earned most of the money, while the majority of the population earned rather small amounts.

He connected this disparity to the observation that incomes follow a power law, representing the first known report of a power-law distribution.

The 80/20 rule: Roughly 80 percent of money is earned by only 20 percent of the population.

## INTERVALLO

## Vilfredo Pareto and the 80/20 rule



The 80/20 rule emerges in many areas:

- $80 \%$ of profits are produced by $20 \%$ of the employees
- $80 \%$ of decisions are made during $20 \%$ of meeting time
- $80 \%$ of links on the Web point to only $15 \%$ of webpages
- $80 \%$ of citations go to only $38 \%$ of scientist
- $80 \%$ of links in Hollywood connected to $30 \%$ of actors
- The $1 \%$ phenomena:
- In the US, $1 \%$ of the population earns $15 \%$ of the total income
- signature of income disparity, it is a consequence of the power-law nature of the income distribution


## References
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## Predictability

## Individual Mobility Network

A network where nodes are an individual's visited locations and edges movements between locations


## The role of randomness

1. What is the role of randomness in human mobility?
2. To what degree are our movements predictable?

## Entropy

Random entropy

$$
S^{\text {rand }}=\log _{2} / N
$$

Uncorrelated entropy

$$
S^{u n c}=-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i} \log _{2} p_{i}
$$

Real entropy

$$
S=-\sum_{T_{i}^{\prime} \subset T_{i}} p_{T_{i}^{\prime}} \log _{2} p_{T_{i}^{\prime}}
$$

## Who's the most predictable?



## Entropy

## Song et al., 2010:

- 50,000 users (CDRs)
- $S$ peaks at 0.8

$$
2^{0.8}=1.74
$$

## Entropy

## Song et al., 2010:

- 50,000 users (CDRs)
- $S$ peaks at 0.8

$$
2^{0.8}=1.74
$$
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## Modelling Individual Human Mobility

## Exploration and Preferential Return Model (EPR)




| Location | Time | Event |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 0 |  |

(A) $f(A)=1$

$$
S=1
$$



| Location | Time | Event |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | 0 |  |
| B | $\mathbf{2}$ | Explore |



$$
S=2
$$

| P (d) |  | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})$ |  | Location | Time | Event |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | A | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  | B | 2 | Explore |
|  |  |  |  | C | 5 | Explore |



$$
S=3
$$


$S=3$



C $f(C)=1$
$S=3$

$S=4$

$S=4$


$\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{rg})$ for the mobile-phone users at different moments of time
$P(\mathrm{rg})$ for the EPR model using $\mathrm{a}=0.75$, $\beta=0.6, \gamma=0.2$ and $\rho=0.1$, the values found to be of direct relevance to human mobility

The probability to return to a previously visited location as a
function of the previous frequency of visitation $f$ "


Results for the EPR model


## References
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## Homeworks

to be delivered by Friday, October 21st, 2022


## Homework 5.1

Use skmob to compute the radius of gyration of all users in the Brightkite dataset. Make a plot that shows the distribution of the radius of gyration over the population of users.

- Visualize in folium the $r_{c m}$ and $r_{g}$ for the top-10 users with the highest $r_{g}$
- Compute the home location (HL) of each of these users, compute the distance between each user's HL and $r_{\text {cm }}$
- Redefine $r_{g}$ so that it is based on HL instead of $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{cm}}$, call it $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h}}$
- Visualize in folium HL and $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{HL}}$ for each of the top-10 users with the highest $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{g}}$
- Do the shapes of $r_{g}$ and $r_{g, \text { нL }}$ overlap? Compute the overlapping area using shapely/geopandas
- Submit a well-commented notebook


## Homework 5.2

Use the Gowalla dataset to estimate the overall popularity (i.e., number of visits) of each location in the dataset

- Plot the distribution of the locations' popularity. What's the shape of the distribution? Comment on it.
- Compute (using skmob) the uncorrelated location entropy of each location, plot its distribution.
- Show is there is a correlation between popularity and location entropy: Are more popular locations also the most "entropic" ones? Provide your interpretation of the result you get
- Repeat for the Brightkite dataset
- Submit a well-commented notebook


## Homework 5.3

Use the Gowalla dataset to compute the individual mobility networks (IMNs) of each user in the dataset

- Visualize the IMNs of the 1) top-10 individuals and 2) bottom-10 individuals based on their uncorrelated entropy.
- Extract proper network measures from the IMN of each user in the dataset (e.g., average clustering coefficient, average degree, number of nodes, etc.)
- Group individuals by this set of features, using the clustering algorithm you think is the most appropriate
- How many clusters do you find? Characterise and visualize the cluster medoids
- Repeat for the Brightkite dataset
- Submit a well-commented notebook


## Homework 5.4

Download your positions from Google Maps. Plot the corresponding GPS trajectory. Plot the distribution of jump length.

- What's the shape of your jump length distribution? Comment.
- Compute your rg, and plot it in folium together with the center of mass
- What the distance between your home location and your center of mass?
- Repeat the steps above selecting only points in 2020. What's the difference between your overall rg and that during 2020?
- Compare your 2-rg and your overall 2-rg. Are you a returner o an explorer? Comment on it
- Submit a well-commented notebook

