## Graph Mining

# Mirco Nanni Pisa KDD Lab, ISTI-CNR \& Univ. Pisa 

http://kdd.isti.cnr.it/

Slides from "Introduction to Data Mining" (Tan, Steinbach, Kumar)

## Frequent Subgraph Mining

$\square$ Extend frequent itemset mining to finding frequent subgraphs

- Useful for Web Mining, computational chemistry, bioinformatics, spatial data sets, etc



## Graph Definitions


(a) Labeled Graph
(b) Subgraph
(c) Induced Subgraph

## Examples of sub-graph containment



## Representing Graphs as Transactions



G1


G2


G3

|  | $(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{p})$ | $(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{q})$ | $(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{r})$ | $(\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{p})$ | $(\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{q})$ | $(\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{r})$ | $\ldots$ | $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{r})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $\ldots$ | 0 |
| G2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ | 0 |
| G3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ | 0 |
| G3 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |

## Challenges

- Node may contain duplicate labels
- Support
- How to define it?
- Assumptions
- Frequent subgraphs must be connected
- Edges are undirected



## Mining frequent sub-graphs

- Support:
- number of graphs that contain a particular subgraph
${ }^{0}$ Apriori principle still holds
- Apriori-like approach: Use frequent k-subgraphs to generate frequent ( $k+1$ ) subgraphs
- Vertex growing: $k$ is the number of vertices
- Edge growing: $k$ is the number of edges


## Vertex Growing

- Follow same strategy as Apriori:
- Find pairs of frequent, overlapping k-graphs
- Merge them to form a (k+1)-graph


## Edge Growing

## Apriori-like Algorithm

- Find frequent 1-subgraphs
- Repeat
- Candidate generation
- Use frequent ( $k-1$ )-subgraphs to generate candidate $k$-subgraph
- Candidate pruning
- Prune candidate subgraphs that contain infrequent (k-1)-subgraphs
- Support counting
- Count the support of each remaining candidate
- Eliminate candidate $k$-subgraphs that are infrequent

In practice, it is not as easy. There are many other issues

## Example: Dataset

## Example

| O Tan,Steinbach, Kumar | Introduction to Data Mining |
| :--- | :--- |

## Candidate Generation

- In Apriori:
- Merging two frequent $k$-itemsets will produce a candidate ( $k+1$ )-itemset
- In frequent subgraph mining (vertex/edge growing)
- Merging two frequent $k$-subgraphs may produce more than one candidate $(k+1)$-subgraph


## Multiplicity of Candidates (Vertex Growing)

## Multiplicity of Candidates (Edge growing)

## - Case 1: identical vertex labels



## Multiplicity of Candidates (Edge growing)

Case 2: Core contains identical labels

Core: The ( $k-1$ ) subgraph that is common between the joint graphs

## Multiplicity of Candidates (Edge growing)

- Case 3: Core multiplicity



## Adjacency Matrix Representation



|  | A(1) | A(2) | A(3) | A(4) | B(5) | B(6) | B(7) | B(8) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A(1) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A(2) | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| A(3) | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| A(4) | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| B(5) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| B(6) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| B(7) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| B(8) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | A(1) | A(2) | A(3) | A(4) | B(5) | B(6) | B(7) | B(8) |
| A(1) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| A(2) | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| A(3) | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| A(4) | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| B(5) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| B(6) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| B(7) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 1 |
| B(8) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

- The same graph can be represented in many ways


## Graph Isomorphism

## $\square$ A graph is isomorphic if it is topologically equivalent to another graph

## Graph Isomorphism

- Test for graph isomorphism is needed:
- During candidate generation step, to determine whether a candidate has been generated
- During candidate pruning step, to check whether its (k-1)-subgraphs are frequent
- During candidate counting, to check whether a candidate is contained within another graph


## Graph Isomorphism

- Use canonical labeling to handle isomorphism
- Map each graph into an ordered string representation (known as its code) such that two isomorphic graphs will be mapped to the same canonical encoding
- Example:
- Lexicographically largest adjacency matrix


String: 0010001111010110

